July 21, 2006

Dr. George C. Wright, President
Prairie View A&M University
PO Box 519
MS 1001
Prairie View, Texas 77446

Dear President Wright:

At the July 2006 meeting of the National Architectural Accrediting Board (NAAB), the board reviewed the Visiting Team Report for the Prairie View A&M University School of Architecture.

The board noted the concern of the visiting team regarding problems in several areas.

As a result, the professional architecture program:

Master of Architecture

was formally granted a six-year term of accreditation with the stipulation that a focused evaluation be scheduled in three years to look only at Program Self-Assessment Procedures, Public Information, Information Resources, and Financial Resources and the progress that has been made in those areas. The accreditation terms are effective January 1, 2006. The program is scheduled for its next full accreditation visit in 2012. The focused evaluation is scheduled for the calendar year 2009.

Accreditation is subject to the submission of Annual Reports. Annual Reports are due by June 1 and must include a response to each condition identified as not met in the Visiting Team Report, a response to each of the causes of concern in the Visiting Team Report, a brief summary of changes that have been made or may be made in the accredited program, and the two-page statistical report. If an acceptable Annual Report is not submitted to the NAAB by the time of its fall board meeting, the NAAB may consider advancing the schedule for the program's next accreditation sequence. A complete description of the Annual Report process can be found on pages 14–15 of the NAAB Procedures for Accreditation, 2006 Edition.

NAAB encourages public dissemination of information about each school contained in both the school's Architecture Program Report and the Visiting Team Report. If the Visiting Team Report is made public, then it is to be published in its entirety.

The visiting team has asked me to express its appreciation for your gracious hospitality.

Very truly yours,

C. William Bevins, FAIA
President

Enc.

Visiting Team Report

cc:

Dr. Ikhas Sabouni, Dean
Judson R. Marquardt, FAIA, Team Chair
Visiting Team Members
May 12, 2006

Munir Quddus, Dean  
College of Business  
Office 2A204  
Prairie View A&M University  
T. R. Solomon Drive  
PO Box 638  
Prairie View, Texas 77446-0638

Dear Dean Quddus,

It is my pleasure to inform you that the Peer Review Team's recommendation for initial accreditation of the Undergraduate and Graduate degree programs in Business
directed by the College of Business at Prairie View A&M University has been concurred with by the
Initial Accreditation Committee and ratified by the Board of Directors. Congratulations
to you, the faculty, students, staff, and all supporters of the business programs. On behalf
of the Accreditation Council, I welcome Prairie View A&M University as a new member.

One purpose of the peer review process is to stimulate further continuous improvement of
quality business programs. Consequently, the team report includes areas of strength and
identification of critical items for maintenance of accreditation to measure progress
achieved in the upcoming annual reports. As noted in the team report, College of
Business is commended on the following strengths and effective practices:

1. The College of Business operates in a university with a distinctive culture of
friendliness, grace and commitment to excellence. One of the business students
with whom the team talked expressed her reason for attending the university as
being wrapped up in the university's motto: "Prairie View Produces Productive
People." This motto seems to permeate the campus.

2. The success of the college in attracting a cadre of high quality new faculty and
rapidly assimilating them into the culture of the organization.

3. The support, interest and commitment of the President, Provost and the College of
Business Advisory Board

1 See attachment A
4. The effectiveness of the leadership team under the capable direction of Dean Munir Quddus.

5. The enthusiastic involvement and commitment of the students.

As a newly accredited member, Prairie View A&M University has achieved accreditation for six years and now enters the maintenance of accreditation process. The next on site maintenance review will occur in 2010-11. Annual Maintenance Reports and participation in the accreditation data module are required for each of the next five years. A maintenance of accreditation application will be due July 1, 2008. Please refer to the *Managers of Accreditation Handbook* for details on these reports and processes. The handbook is constantly evolving and updated frequently to provide the most current process improvements. It is recommended that the Web site be continually monitored for revisions.

In the next five Annual Maintenance Reports required for maintenance of accreditation, Prairie View A&M University is expected to measure and provide progress on the strategic management of available resources, including implementation and results of the strategies over the previous year on existing action items. Action items for the immediate future should be included and the relevance of these action items to the mission should be either obvious or explained. Additionally, please provide progress made relating to (if applicable, though not all inclusive, accreditation standards are specified within parenthesis):

1. A number of approaches have been implemented in the COB including embedded assessment, simulations and writing assignments. We commend the Assessment Committee, faculty and leadership team for their efforts in meeting the expectations of the standards for assessment. We encourage the COB to continue to improve assessment and bring it to the next level by its five year maintenance review (Assurance of Learning Standards 15-20).

2. The college should report on efforts and results to increase the number and quality of intellectual contributions. Also, the school needs to put more emphasis on the research productivity of individual faculty members (Standard 10: Faculty Qualifications Intellectual Contributions and Standard 11: Faculty Management and Support).

Prairie View A&M University should complete and retain the Annual Maintenance Reports beginning with 2005-2006. The five-year collection of annual reports and the Fifth Year Maintenance Report should be distributed to reviewers no later than 60 days prior to the on-site review to be conducted in 2010-11. Additionally and on the same distribution
SCOPE OF ACCREDITATION
Initial Business Accreditation April 2006

Name Institution: Prairie View A&M University

Name of Business Academic Unit: the College of Business

List of degree programs reviewed:

1. Bachelor of Business Administration Degree with majors in Accounting, Finance, MIS, Management and Marketing

2. MBA Degree

3. Master of Science in Accounting Degree
Ms Anderson,

This is the information regarding the ACS

From: Oki,Aderemi
Sent: Tuesday, September 01, 2009 3:46 PM
To: Walker,Deborah
Subject: FW: ACS program approval

Dear Remi,

I am sorry for the delay in getting back to you. I was out of the office when your email arrived, and I needed more details from the transcript of CPT's discussion of your program before I could respond.

Please note that this is an informal reply, and you will receive a formal letter communicating the full details of the outcome of your review in the very near future.

First, let me report the excellent news that CPT voted to approve the chemistry program at Prairie View A&M. However, the Committee expressed some modest concerns about the rigor of the chemistry curriculum, concluding that your program met the minimum requirements under the 2003 guidelines. As part of the motion to approve the chemistry program, CPT requested that your first periodic review be submitted in three years. In addition to the usual questions on the periodic review report form, the Committee will also ask you to address your progress on the further development of your chemistry courses, particularly those that will be used to fulfill the in-depth course requirement.
As you probably know, CPT was working on a revision of the ACS guidelines while your program was going through the application process. The new guidelines were released last year. In my formal communication to you, I will provide more specific information on what CPT will be looking for at the time of your periodic review. I will also point out the key changes in the description of the course work requirements under the 2008 ACS Guidelines.

Please let me know if you have any questions about this informal report. As usual, my staff and I will be available to assist you as you and your faculty work toward the further development your program in response to CPT's questions and the 2008 guidelines.

Congratulations on the approval of your program!

--Cathy

Cathy A. Nelson
Assistant Director
Office of Professional Training
American Chemical Society

From: Oki, Aderemi [mailto:aroki@pvamu.edu]
Sent: Fri 4/24/2009 1:21 PM
To: cpt@acs.org
Subject: ACS program approval

Ms Cathy A. Nelson,
Secretary,
Committee on Professional Training
American Chemical Society

Dear Cathy,
I am just following up on the outcome of the site visitation with regards to our program approval. The last time I got in touch with you, I was told the CPT will deliberate on it at the spring 2009 ACS meeting and that the outcome will be conveyed to me shortly after. Please give me a call at 9362613105 or 9173650734

Thanks

Remi Oki
May 8, 2009

Dr. George C. Wright
President
Prairie View A&M University
P.O. Box 188
Prairie View, TX 77446

Dear Dr. Wright:

At its April 19-22, 2009 meeting in San Antonio, Texas, the Unit Accreditation Board of the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE) considered the application for continuing accreditation of The Whittlowe R. Green College of Education at Prairie View A&M University. I am pleased to inform you of the Unit Accreditation Board's decision to continue the accreditation of The Whittlowe R. Green College of Education at Prairie View A&M University at the initial teacher preparation and advanced preparation levels. This action removes the conditions from Standard 1 and Standard 2 and returns the institution to its regular accreditation cycle. This accreditation decision indicates that the unit and its programs meet rigorous standards set forth by the professional education community. The copy of this letter sent to the head of your professional education unit includes a certificate in acknowledgement of the unit's accomplishment.

Let me take this opportunity to congratulate you and your professional education unit for displaying the high quality necessary to be granted national accreditation. I would also like to express appreciation for the cooperation received from the faculty, staff, and administration of your institution. I recognize the time and effort it took to prepare the online Institutional Report and would like to thank the faculty for assisting NCATE as we strive to use technology more effectively.

Areas for improvement relative to are listed on the enclosed accreditation action report. Note that any previously cited areas for improvement relative to the other standards continue to apply.

The next NCATE visit is scheduled for Spring 2013 which is seven years after the Spring 2006 full visit. You will begin to receive materials for that visit approximately two years prior to the visit. (In partnership states, the actual date of the visit must be determined jointly by the state and NCATE) In addition, your institution will be required to complete a Professional Education Data System instrument each year during the accreditation period. You are not required to report specifically on progress in the areas for improvement cited, but you are encouraged to do so. During the accreditation period, you will be expected to report evaluations and changes in relation to all six standards.

Enclosed is a copy of NCATE's Policies on Dissemination of Information, which describes the terms and dates by which your current accreditation action becomes a matter of public record and lists other parties who will be notified of accreditation action. If your state has a partnership agreement with NCATE, the state agency with program approval authority receives a copy of this letter.

To celebrate your accreditation, I encourage you to use the online press packet on NCATE's website. (From the homepage, click on 'Institutions', then 'Resources', then 'Press Packet' under the subhead, 'Celebrating,
Accreditation. The packet includes a sample press release announcing a school of education's accreditation status to the media, as well as samples of announcements that can be sent to P-12 schools, foundations, businesses, policymakers, and other stakeholders in your area. Other strategies are also included for garnering media attention throughout the year. In addition, because you are professionally accredited, we encourage you to use the NCATE logo on print materials such as brochures and catalogs, as well as on your school of education's website. (The logo can be found at the link above 'Press Packet' as noted above.) It is a distinctive 'mark' that demonstrates that you have met demanding national professional standards for educator preparation. Congratulations!

Should you have any questions regarding NCATE's action or the items reported herein, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

James G. Cibulka

Enclosures

cc:

Dr. Barry Pelphrey, The Whitlowe R. Green College of Education
Dr. Patricia A. Smith, The Whitlowe R. Green College of Education
Dr. Karen Loonam, Texas Education Agency
Ms. Tabita Gutierrez, Texas Education Agency
Dr. Mary S. Black, Texas Education Agency
Dr. Janice Lopez, Texas Education Agency
Ms. Sandra Jo Nix, Texas Education Agency
Board of Examiners Team
Milton R. Bryant  
Dean, College of Engineering  
Prairie View A&M University  
PO Box 397  
Prairie View TX 77446-0397

Dear Dr. Bryant:

The Computing Accreditation Commission (CAC) of the Accreditation Board for Engineering and Technology (ABET) recently held its 2004 Summer Meeting to act on the program evaluations conducted during 2003-2004. Each evaluation was summarized in a report to the Commission and was considered by the full Commission before a vote was taken on the accreditation action. The results of the evaluation for Prairie View A & M University are included in the enclosed Summary of Accreditation Actions. The Final Statement to your institution that discusses the findings on which each action was based is also enclosed.

The policy of ABET is to grant accreditation for a limited number of years, not to exceed six, in all cases. The period of accreditation is not an indication of program quality. Any restriction of the period of accreditation is based upon conditions indicating that compliance with the applicable accreditation criteria must be strengthened. Continuation of accreditation beyond the time specified requires a reevaluation of the program at the request of the institution as noted in the accreditation action. ABET policy prohibits public disclosure of the period for which a program is accredited. For further guidance concerning the public release of accreditation information, please refer to Section II.L. of the enclosed 2004-2005 Accreditation Policy and Procedure Manual.

A list of accredited programs is published annually by ABET. Information about ABET accredited programs at your institution will be listed in the forthcoming ABET Accreditation Yearbook and on the ABET web site (www.abet.org).
Prairie View A & M University

It is the obligation of the officer responsible for ABET accredited programs at your institution to notify ABET of any significant changes in program title, personnel, curriculum, or other factors which could affect the accreditation status of a program during the period of accreditation.

Please note that appeals are allowed only in the case of Not to Accredit actions. Also, such appeals may be based only on the conditions stated in Section II.G.7. of the 2004-2005 Accreditation Policy and Procedure Manual.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Daniel B. Hodge
Accreditation Director

Enclosures: Summary of Accreditation Actions
Final Statement

cc: Willie A. Tempton, Vice President for Finance & Administration
James W. Kho, Visit Team Chair
ABET
Computing Accreditation Commission

Summary of Accreditation Actions
for the
2003-04 Accreditation Cycle

Prairie View A & M University
Prairie View, TX

Computer Science (BS)

Accredit to September 30, 2006. A request to ABET by January 31, 2005 will be required to initiate a reaccreditation report evaluation. A report describing the actions taken to correct shortcomings identified in the attached final statement must be submitted to ABET by July 1, 2005. The reaccreditation evaluation will focus on these shortcomings. Please note that a visit is not required.
Chair, Commission on Accreditation for Dietetics Education

[Signature]

Date: February 20, 2007

Period of recognition until 2010

This program has met established standards of quality for the development of an individual's competence to practice as an entry-level dietetics practitioner.

Saint Louis University

Dietetic Internship

For the

Accreditation

Grants

THE AMERICAN DIETETIC ASSOCIATION

COMMISSION ON ACCREDITATION FOR DIETETICS EDUCATION
Chair, Commission on Accreditation for Dietetics Education

Date: February 20, 2001

The program has met established standards of quality for the development of an individual's competence to practice as an entry-level dietetics practitioner. This program has established standards of quality for the development of an individual's competence to practice as an entry-level dietetics practitioner.

Pratt Institute

School of Dietetic Sciences

Dietetic Program in Dietetics

To the

Accreditation

SIGNED

The American Dietetic Association

Commission on Accreditation for Dietetics Education
Dr. Janice I. Hooper  
Nurse Consultant for Education  
Texas Board of Nurse Examiners  
333 Guadalupe #3-460  
Austin, Texas 78701

Dear Dr. Hooper:

Please find attached the documentation of national accreditation of the undergraduate and graduate programs offered by Prairie View A&M University College of Nursing. Below is a description of dates of accreditations via the National League for Nursing Accrediting Commission, Inc. (NLNAC) and Commission on Collegiate Nursing Education (CCNE).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAM</th>
<th>ACCREDITING AGENCY</th>
<th>PERIOD OF ACCREDITATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baccalaureate (Continuing)</td>
<td>NLNAC</td>
<td>2006-2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s (Initial)</td>
<td>NLNAC</td>
<td>2004-2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baccalaureate (Continuing)</td>
<td>CCNE</td>
<td>2006-2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master’s (Initial)</td>
<td>CCNE</td>
<td>2006-2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On behalf of the administration and faculty, thank you very much for the opportunity of updating the accreditation status of Prairie View A&M University nursing programs. We are very proud of the accomplishment and effectiveness of the college and to have the outstanding appraisal of both national nursing accrediting bodies.

Sincerely,

Betty N. Adams, Ph.D., R.N.  
Dean and Professor  
College of Nursing

BNA:leh  
www.pvamu.edu
May 1, 2006

Betty N. Adams, PhD
Dean
College of Nursing
Prairie View A & M University
1801 Main Street, Suite 801
Houston, TX 77002

Dear Dr. Adams:

On behalf of the Commission on Collegiate Nursing Education (CCNE), I am pleased to advise you that the CCNE Board of Commissioners acted at its meeting on April 8, 2006, to grant accreditation of the baccalaureate degree program in nursing at Prairie View A & M University for a term of 10 years, extending to June 30, 2016. The Board also acted to grant accreditation of the master's degree program for a term of 5 years, extending to June 30, 2011.

You should plan for the next on-site evaluation of the baccalaureate degree program to take place in the fall of 2015, and plan for the next on-site evaluation of the master's program to take place in the fall of 2010.

At its meeting, the Board determined that the programs met all four accreditation standards. The Board additionally determined that there is a compliance concern for the baccalaureate program with respect to the Key Element IV-B. The Board also determined that there are compliance concerns for the master's program with respect to Key Elements IV-A and IV-C.

As is required for all accredited programs, the Board requested that the programs submit continuous improvement progress reports at the mid-point of the accreditation terms. These reports should address the nursing programs' continued compliance with all accreditation standards.

The report for the baccalaureate program should also focus on the following compliance concern:

Demonstrate that achievements of graduates, including graduation rates and NLCEX pass rates, are routinely collected and accurately reported (Key Element IV-B).

The deadline for submitting the progress report for the baccalaureate program to CCNE is June 30, 2011. The Report Review Committee and then the Board of Commissioners will review the progress report for this program at its meeting in Fall 2011.

The report for the master's program should also focus on the following compliance concerns:

1. Demonstrate that student performance is regularly evaluated by faculty and reflects achievement of expected outcomes (Key Element IV-A); and
2. Demonstrate that program outcome data are analyzed to provide evidence of program effectiveness and are used to foster ongoing program improvement (Key Element IV-C).

The deadline for submitting the progress report for the master’s program to CCNE is June 30, 2009. The Report Review Committee and then the Board of Commissioners will review the progress report for this program at its meeting in Fall 2009. For more information about the continuous improvement progress report, please refer to the CCNE procedures.

A copy of the accreditation report that was sent to you earlier, along with your response to it, is being transmitted to the institution’s chief executive officer as the Commission’s official report to Prairie View A & M University. We hope that both the results of your self-study process and the accreditation report will be useful to the continued growth and development of the nursing programs. Certificates of accreditation are enclosed.

As a reminder, programs are expected to continue to comply with the CCNE standards and procedures throughout the period of accreditation. This includes advising CCNE in the event of any substantive change in your nursing programs or of any major organizational changes that may affect the programs’ administration, scope or quality. These reporting requirements are discussed further in the CCNE procedures.

We appreciate the many courtesies and the helpfulness extended to the CCNE evaluation team in the fall of 2005. The Commissioners join me in expressing our best wishes as you proceed with tasks important to the future of your nursing programs.

Sincerely,

Jill B. Derstine, EdD, RN, FAAN
Chair, Board of Commissioners

cc: President George C. Wright
    CCNE Board of Commissioners
    CCNE Accreditation Review Committee
    CCNE Evaluation Team
March 17, 2006

Betty N. Adams, PhD, RN
Dean and Professor, College of Nursing
Prairie View A&M University
1801 Main Street, Suite 801
Houston, TX 77002

Dear Dr. Adams:

This letter is formal notification of the action taken by the National League for Nursing Accrediting Commission at its meeting on February 22-24, 2006. The Commission granted the baccalaureate degree nursing program continuing accreditation and scheduled the next evaluation visit for Fall 2013.

Deliberations centered on the Self Study Report, the School Catalog, the Site Visitors’ Report, and the recommendation for accreditation proposed by the program evaluators and the evaluation review panel.

The Commission affirmed the strengths and areas needing development as outlined in the attached Summary of Deliberations of the Evaluation Review Panel.

On behalf of the Commission, we thank you and your colleagues for your commitment to quality nursing education. By choosing to stand for accreditation by NLNAC, your nursing program demonstrates a continued interest in having the program measured against the highest national standards of quality in nursing education. If you have questions about this action or about Commission policies and procedures, please write or call me or a member of the NLNAC Staff.

Patricia R. Forni, PhD, RN, FAAN
Chair, NLNAC Board of Commissioners

cc: Tina DeLapp, EdD, RN Program Evaluator
Andrea M. West, PhD, RN Program Evaluator
Alma Vega, ARNP-C Program Evaluator

Enc. Summary of Deliberations of the Evaluation Review Panel
March 17, 2004

Betty N. Adams, PhD, RN
Dean, College of Nursing
Prairie View A&M University
1801 Main at Jefferson
Houston, TX 77002

Dear Dr. Adams:

This letter is formal notification of the action taken by the National League for Nursing Accrediting Commission at its meeting on February 25-26, 2004. The Commission approved the master's degree program for initial accreditation and scheduled the next evaluation visit for Fall 2008.

Deliberations centered on the Self Study Report, the School Catalog, the Program Evaluator Report, and the recommendation for accreditation proposed by the program evaluators and the evaluation review panel.

The Commission affirmed the strengths and areas needing development as outlined in the attached Summary of Deliberations of the Evaluation Review Panel.

On behalf of the Commission, we thank you and your colleagues for your commitment to quality nursing education. By choosing to stand for accreditation by NLNAC, your nursing program demonstrates a continued interest in having the program measured against the highest national standards of quality in nursing education. If you have questions about this action or about Commission policies and procedures, please write or call me or a member of the NLNAC Staff.

Barbara Grumet
Executive Director

cc: Mary K. Alexander, EdD, RNCS, ANP, GNP Program Evaluator
    Toni O. Barnett, PhD, FNP-C, GNP-C Program Evaluator

Enc. Summary of Deliberations of the Evaluation Review Panel
CONFIDENTIAL

November 19, 2003

George C. Wright
President
Prairie View A & M University
P.O. Box 686
Prairie View, TX 77446-0686

Dear President Wright:

At its November 2003 meeting, the Commission on Accreditation (Commission) reviewed the application for reaffirmation of the baccalaureate social work degree program at Prairie View A & M University. The review included an assessment of the self-study documents, the site team report, and the program’s response. This letter is to inform you that the Commission voted to reaccredit the baccalaureate social work degree program for the full eight-year cycle ending June 2011. (In 2002 the program was granted an administrative postponement, postponing its review date from June 2003 to November 2003.)

The Commission appreciates and affirms the many strengths in the program and commends the efforts of the faculty and others associated with the program in achieving reaffirmation. Procedures regarding the process for the next reaffirmation are included with this letter. Please be in touch with Dr. Judith Bremner, Senior Educational Specialist, in the Division of Standards and Accreditation if there are questions about this letter or the procedures and actions of the Commission on Accreditation.

Congratulations on the continued accreditation of the baccalaureate social work degree program at Prairie View A & M University. We look forward to our continuing mutual effort to assure quality in social work education.

Sincerely,

Stephen Holloway, Ph.D., Chair
Commission on Accreditation

Enclosure: Procedures for Reaffirmation

Xc: Felix O. Chima, Ph.D., M.S.W., M.B.A.
Interim Director, Social Work Program
Walle Engedayehu, Ph.D., Head and Associate Professor
Division of Social Work, Behavioral and Political Sciences